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#### Abstract

Using results on Frobenius-Stickelberger-type relations for hyperelliptic curves (Y. Ônishi, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2), 48 (2005) p.705-742), we provide certain addition formulae for any symmetric power of the curves, which hold on the strata $W_{k}$, the pre-images in the Jacobian of the classical Wirtinger varieties. As an appendix, we give similar relations for a trigonal curve $y^{3}=\left(x-b_{1}\right)\left(x-b_{2}\right)\left(x-b_{3}\right)\left(x-b_{4}\right)$.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate certain types of addition laws on the Jacobian variety of hyperelliptic curves.

For an elliptic curve $C_{1}$ given by $y^{2}=4 x^{3}-g_{2} x-g_{3}$, the addition law is determined by the addition formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right) \sigma\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)}{\sigma\left(u_{1}\right)^{2} \sigma\left(u_{2}\right)^{2}}=-\wp\left(u_{1}\right)+\wp\left(u_{2}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ and $\wp$ are the usual functions of Weierstrass. If we apply $\frac{d}{d u_{1}}\left(\frac{d}{d u_{1}}+\frac{d}{d u_{2}}\right) \log$ to both sides, we obtain addition formulae for the $\wp$-function, $\wp(u)=-\frac{d^{2}}{d u^{2}} \log \sigma(u)$.

Since we can regard $\left(\wp(u), \frac{d \wp(u)}{d u}\right)$ as a point of the curve $C_{1}$, we write the relation (1.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right) \sigma\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)}{\sigma\left(u_{1}\right)^{2} \sigma\left(u_{2}\right)^{2}}=-x_{1}+x_{2}, \quad \text { for } u_{a}=\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{a}, y_{a}\right)} \frac{d x}{y} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall consider (1.1) and (1.2) as coming from two different kinds of general formulae. Formula (1.1) was generalized to the case of higher-genus hyperelliptic curves by using Klein's sigma function, which is a natural generalization of the Weierstrass elliptic $\sigma$ function to higher genera, for the case $g=2,3$ by Baker [Ba2], and for general genus by Buchstaber et al. in [BEL].

In this article, we study addition formulae of type (1.2) over subvarieties in the Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ of a hyperelliptic curve where the sigma function vanishes; derivatives of sigma will occur instead. The case $n=m=g=1$ of Theorem 5.1 corresponds to (1.2).

For a hyperelliptic curve $C_{g}$ given by the equation $y^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g+1}\left(x-b_{i}\right)$, where the $b_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ are distinct complex numbers, and a smooth point $\infty$ at infinity, the Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ of $C_{g}$ is a complex torus $\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$ with $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^{g}$ a complete lattice. The Abel-Jacobi theorem says that we have a birational map $\phi_{g}$, depending on the choice of a basepoint in $C_{g}$, from
the symmetric product $\mathrm{S}^{g}\left(C_{g}\right)$ to the Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{g}$. Henceforth we fix the basepoint to be $\infty$ and we transform the natural stratification of $\mathrm{S}^{g}\left(C_{g}\right)$ to $\mathcal{J}_{g}$. We consider the $k$ th symmetric product $\mathrm{S}^{k}\left(C_{g}\right)$ for $k \geqq 1$ and the analogous maps $\phi_{k}$ from $\mathrm{S}^{k}\left(C_{g}\right)$ to $\mathcal{J}_{g}$. We introduce subvarieties in $\mathcal{J}_{g}$, whose 2:1 images under the level-two theta map are classically known as the Wirtinger varieties [G],

$$
W_{k}=\phi_{k}\left[\mathrm{~S}^{k}\left(C_{g}\right)\right]
$$

Then we see $W_{k} \subset W_{k+1}$ in general, and $W_{n}=\mathcal{J}_{g}$ if $n \geq g$. The natural projection is denoted by

$$
\kappa: \mathbb{C}^{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{g}=\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda
$$

For hyperelliptic curves, Riemann's singularity theorem (cf. [ACGH] VI.1) characterizes each $W_{k}$ as the zero-locus of multi-derivatives of the Riemann theta function in the affine coordinate $u \in \mathbb{C}^{g}=\kappa^{-1}\left(\mathcal{J}_{g}\right)$. Indeed, our choice of a base point which is a Weierstrass point makes it possible to relate the dimension of a linear series with its degree. In general, it is still a difficult and important question to relate the Wirtinger varieties to vanishing properties of the theta function (cf. [G] for a survey).

By investigating the algebraic and analytic structure of the subvarieties $W_{k}$, some among the present authors [EMO] extended the formula (1.2) to the subvarieties $W_{k}$, based on the results in [O1], as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(m, n)$ be a pair of positive integers $(m, n)$ such that $m+n \leq g+1$. Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in C_{g}, u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{m}\right)$, and $v \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{n}\right)$ be points satisfying $\kappa(u)=\phi_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right)$ and $\kappa(v)=\phi_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then the following relation holds:

$$
\left.\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}(u-v) \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}}{}(u+v)\right)=\delta(g, n) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}^{\prime}\right),
$$

Here $\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}^{m}}$ is a certain (higher) derivative of $\sigma$ given in Table 1, and $\delta(g, n)=(-1)^{g n+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)}$.
Note that we changed the notation of $\delta(g, n)$ from [EMO]. In this article, we generalize this theorem for all pairs of positive integers $m$ and $n$ by a more direct application of the results in [O1] than [EMO]. In an Appendix, we give similar relations for a trigonal curve $y^{3}=\left(x-b_{1}\right)\left(x-b_{2}\right)\left(x-b_{3}\right)\left(x-b_{4}\right)$ as an application of the generalized FrobeniusStickelberger formulae for this curve([O2]). In essence, the strategy in [O1] and [O2] consists of comparing zero and pole-divisors of two meromorphic functions on the Jacobian, and finally conclude they are equal by determining the leading term(s) of their power-series expansions in suitable abelian coordinates. The present contribution consists in defining the appropriate derivatives of the sigma function, and exploiting previously found indentities to obtain a cancellation.

This article started during a visit of the authors to Tokyo Metropolitan University in 2005 , supported by JSPS grant 16540002 . We thank Prof. M. Guest of TMU for extending
his department's hospitality. We also thank Dr. J. Gibbons of Imperial College for some comments on this work.

## 2. Geometrical setting of hyperelliptic curves

Let us consider a hyperelliptic curve defined by

$$
y^{2}=x^{2 g+1}+\lambda_{2 g} x^{2 g}+\cdots+\lambda_{0}
$$

together with a smooth point $\infty$ at infinity.
We fix a basis of holomorphic one-forms

$$
d u_{1}=\frac{d x}{2 y}, \quad d u_{2}=\frac{x d x}{2 y}, \quad \cdots, \quad d u_{g}=\frac{x^{g-1} d x}{2 y} .
$$

We also fix a homology basis for the curve $X$ so that

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{j} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} \beta_{j},
$$

where the intersections are given by $\left[\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right]=0,\left[\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}\right]=0$ and $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right]=-\left[\beta_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right] \delta_{i j}$. We take the half-period matrices of $X$ with respect to the given bases,

$$
\omega^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\alpha_{j}} d u_{i}\right], \quad \omega^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\beta_{j}} d u_{i}\right], \quad \omega=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\omega^{\prime} \\
\omega^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $\Lambda$ be the lattice in $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ generated by the column vectors in $2 \omega^{\prime}$ and $2 \omega^{\prime \prime}$. The Jacobian variety of $X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ and is identified with $\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$. We denote by $\kappa$ the map given by modulo $\Lambda$ :

$$
\kappa: \mathbb{C}^{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda .
$$

For a non-negative integer $k$, we define the Abel map from $k$-th symmetric product $\mathrm{S}^{k}(X)$ of the curve $X$ to $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ by,

$$
\phi_{k}: \mathrm{S}^{k}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{g}, \quad \phi_{k}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{c}
d u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
d u_{g}
\end{array}\right) \bmod \Lambda .
$$

The image of $\phi_{k}$ is denoted by $W_{k}=\phi_{k}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{k}\left(X_{g}\right)\right)$. The mapping $\phi_{g}$ is surjective by Abel's theorem, and is injective if we restrict the map to the pre-image of the complement of a specific connected Zariski closed subset of dimension at most $g-2$ in $\mathcal{J}_{g}$, by Jacobi's theorem (see Theorem 5.13 in [I]).

## 3. Sigma function and its derivatives

In this section, we will introduce the hyperelliptic $\theta$-functions, and the $\sigma$-function, which is a natural generalization of the Weierstrass $\sigma$-function.

We define differentials of the second kind,

$$
d r_{j}=\frac{1}{2 y} \sum_{k=j}^{2 g-j}(k+1-j) \lambda_{k+1+j} x^{k} d x, \quad(j=1, \cdots, g)
$$

and complete hyperelliptic integrals of the second kind

$$
\eta^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\alpha_{j}} d r_{i}\right], \quad \eta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\beta_{j}} d r_{i}\right] .
$$

For this basis of the $2 g$-dimensional space of meromorphic differentials, the half-periods $\omega^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}$ satisfy the generalized Legendre relation

$$
\mathfrak{M}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1_{g}  \tag{3.1}\\
1_{g} & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathfrak{M}^{T}=\frac{\imath \pi}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1_{g} \\
1_{g} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

where $\mathfrak{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\omega^{\prime} & \omega^{\prime \prime} \\ \eta^{\prime} & \eta^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{T}=\omega^{\prime-1} \omega^{\prime \prime}$. The theta function on $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ with modulus $\mathbb{T}$ and characteristics $\mathbb{T} a+b$ is given by

$$
\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b
\end{array}\right](z)=\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b
\end{array}\right](z ; \mathbb{T})=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}} \exp \left[2 \pi i\left\{\frac{1}{2}^{t}(n+a) \mathbb{T}(n+a)+{ }^{t}(n+a)(z+b)\right\}\right]
$$

for $g$-dimensional complex vectors $a$ and $b$. The $\sigma$-function ([Ba1], p.336,[BEL]), an analytic function on the space $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ and a theta series having modular invariance of a given weight with respect to $\mathfrak{M}$, is given by the formula

$$
\sigma(u)=\gamma_{0} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}{ }^{t} u \eta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime-1} u\right\} \vartheta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta^{\prime \prime} \\
\delta^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left(\frac{1}{2} \omega^{\prime-1} u ; \mathbb{T}\right)
$$

where $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are half-integer characteristics giving the vector of Riemann constants with basepoint at $\infty$ and $\gamma_{0}$ is a certain non-zero constant. The $\sigma$-function vanishes only on $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{g-1}\right)$ (see for example [Ba1], p. 252).

Let $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$ be an ordered set of $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$-valued functions over $X$ defined as follows

$$
\varphi_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x^{i} & \text { for } i \leq g  \tag{3.2}\\
x^{\lfloor(i-g) / 2\rfloor+g} & \text { for } i>g, i-g \text { even, } \\
x^{\lfloor(i-g) / 2\rfloor} y & \text { for } i>g, i-g \text { odd. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Following [O1], we introduce a multi-index $\mathfrak{q}^{n}$. For $n$ with $1 \leq n<g$, we let $\natural^{n}$ be the set of positive integers $i$ such that $n+1 \leq i \leq g$ with $i \equiv n+1 \bmod 2$. Namely,

$$
t^{n}= \begin{cases}\{n+1, n+3, \cdots, g-1\} & \text { if } g-n \equiv 0 \bmod 2, \\ \{n, n+2, \cdots, g\} & \text { if } g-n \equiv 1 \bmod 2 ;\end{cases}
$$

and partial derivative over the multi-index $\vdash^{n}$

$$
\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}^{n}}=\left(\prod_{i \in \natural^{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}\right) \sigma(u) .
$$

For $n \geq g$, we define $\hbar^{n}$ as empty and $\sigma_{\natural^{n}}$ as $\sigma$ itself. The first few examples are given in the following table, where we let $\sharp$ denote $\hbar^{1}$ and $b$ denote $t^{2}$.

For $u \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, we denote by $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ the unique vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{g}$ such that

$$
u=u^{\prime} 2 \omega^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime} 2 \omega^{\prime \prime} .
$$

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(u, v) & ={ }^{t} u\left(2 \eta^{\prime} v^{\prime}+2 \eta^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
\chi(\ell) & =\exp \left\{2 \pi \imath\left({ }^{t} \ell^{\prime} \delta^{\prime \prime}-{ }^{t} \ell^{\prime \prime} \delta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \ell^{\prime} \ell^{\prime} \ell^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}(\in\{1,-1\})
\end{aligned}
$$

for $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$ and for $\ell\left(=\ell^{\prime} 2 \omega^{\prime}+\ell^{\prime \prime} 2 \omega^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \Lambda$. Then $\sigma_{\natural^{n}}(u)$ for $u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$ satisfies the translational relation ([O1], Lemma 6.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\natural^{n}}(u+\ell)=\chi(\ell) \sigma_{\natural^{n}}(u) \exp L\left(u+\frac{1}{2} \ell, \ell\right) \text { for } u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further for $n \leqq g$, we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\mathfrak{\natural}^{n}}(-u) & =(-1)^{n g+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)} \sigma_{\natural^{n}}(u) \text { for } u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{n}\right), \text { especially, } \\
\sigma_{b}(-u) & =-\sigma_{b}(u) \text { for } u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
\sigma_{\sharp}(-u) & =(-1)^{g} \sigma_{\sharp}(u) \text { for } u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

by Proposition 6.5 in [O1].
Table 1

| genuS | $\sigma_{\sharp} \equiv \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{1}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{b}} \equiv \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{2}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{3}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{4}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{5}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{6}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{7}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{8}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 2 | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 4 | $\sigma_{24}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 5 | $\sigma_{24}$ | $\sigma_{35}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\sigma_{5}$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6 | $\sigma_{246}$ | $\sigma_{35}$ | $\sigma_{46}$ | $\sigma_{5}$ | $\sigma_{6}$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7 | $\sigma_{246}$ | $\sigma_{357}$ | $\sigma_{46}$ | $\sigma_{57}$ | $\sigma_{6}$ | $\sigma_{7}$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| 8 | $\sigma_{2468}$ | $\sigma_{357}$ | $\sigma_{468}$ | $\sigma_{57}$ | $\sigma_{68}$ | $\sigma_{7}$ | $\sigma_{8}$ | $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |

## 4. Generalized Frobenius-Stickelberger formula

We recall the generalized Frobenius-Stickelberger formula, which was given by one of the authors ([O1], Theorem 7.2).

Definition 4.1. For a positive integer $n \geq 1$ and a point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $X$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \\
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) \\
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.2. For a positive integer $n>1$, let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $X$, and $u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(n)}$ in $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$ be points such that $\kappa\left(u^{(i)}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)$. Then the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{\mathfrak{t}^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^{(i)}\right) \prod_{i<j} \sigma_{b}\left(u^{(i)}-u^{(j)}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{\sharp}\left(u^{(i)}\right)^{n}}=\epsilon_{n} \Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{n}=(-1)^{g+n(n+1) / 2}$ for $n \leq g$ and $\epsilon_{n}=(-1)^{(2 n-g)(g-1) / 2}$ for $n \geq g+1$.

## 5. The generalized addition formula

Now we are ready to describe the main result. Our motivation is found in [EEP] and [BES]. A special case of our main result appeared in [EEP] (see formula (34) and the analogous formula above (32)) in the process of deriving Baker's addition formula by the technique given in [O1] for genus 2. Another special case is the formula (3.21) in [BES].

Theorem 5.1. Assume that $(m, n)$ is a pair of positive integers. Let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)(i=$ $1, \cdots, m),\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)(j=1, \cdots, n)$ in $X$ and $u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{m}\right), v \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{n}\right)$ be points such that $\kappa(u)=\phi_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right)$ and $\kappa(v)=\phi_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}(u+v) \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}(u-v)}{\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m}}(u)^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{n}}(v)^{2}} \\
& =\delta(g, m, n) \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{1} \Delta_{m+n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime},(-1)^{i} y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime},(-1)^{i} y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left(\Delta_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right) \Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{2}}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\Delta_{2}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right),\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta(g, m, n)=(-1)^{g n+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)+m n}$.
To show this, we introduce the following symbol:

Definition 5.2. Let $k$ be a positive integer. In general, for any $k$ points $u^{(j)}(j=1, \cdots$, $k$ ) in $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$, we define

$$
q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(k)}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}^{k}}\left(u^{(1)}+\cdots+u^{(k)}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{\sharp}\left(u^{(j)}\right)} .
$$

Remark 5.3. By the translational relation (3.3), we see that $q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ is a function on $\mathrm{S}^{k}(X)$. However, $q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(k)}\right)$ is in general only a function on the fibre product taken over $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ with respect to the Abel map $\psi_{k}: \mathrm{S}^{k}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{g}$ and the duplication map [2]: $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{g}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{g}$ is an Abelian variety which has $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ as a 2:1 image.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. In the course of this proof, we let $u^{(i)}$ and $v^{(j)}$ be points such that $\kappa\left(u^{(i)}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\kappa\left(u^{(j)}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in $W_{1}$, respectively. Then we have $\kappa\left(-u^{(i)}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\left(x_{i},-y_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\kappa\left(-v^{(j)}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\left(x_{j}^{\prime},-y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By (4.1) and (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(m)}, \pm v^{(1)}, \cdots, \pm v^{(n)}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \prod_{i_{1}<i_{2}} q\left(u^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \pm u^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\right) \prod_{i, j} q\left(u^{(i)}, \pm v^{(j)}\right) \prod_{j_{1}<j_{2}} q\left( \pm v^{\left(j_{1}\right)}, v^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{\neq} m+n}{}\left(u^{(1)}+\cdots+u^{(m)} \pm v^{(1)} \pm \cdots \pm v^{(n)}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& \prod_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{\sharp}\left(u^{(i)}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{\sharp}\left( \pm v^{(j)}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \prod_{i_{1}<i_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{b}\left(u^{\left(i_{1}\right)}-u^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\right)}{\sigma_{\sharp}\left(u^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\right) \sigma_{\sharp}\left(-u^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\right)} \cdot \prod_{i, j} \frac{\sigma_{b}\left(u^{(i)} \mp v^{(j)}\right)}{\sigma_{\sharp}\left(u^{(i)}\right) \sigma_{\sharp}\left(\mp v^{(j)}\right)} \cdot \prod_{j_{1}<j_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{b}\left( \pm v^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \mp v^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\right)}{\sigma_{\sharp}\left(u^{\left(j_{1}\right)}\right) \sigma_{\sharp}\left(\mp v^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\right)} \\
& =(-1)^{g\left(\frac{1}{2} m(m-1)+m n+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)\right)} \epsilon_{m+n} \\
& \quad \cdot \Delta_{m+n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \pm y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, \pm y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(m)}\right) \prod_{i_{1}<i_{2}} q\left(u^{\left(i_{1}\right)},-u^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2} g m(m-1)} \epsilon_{m} \Delta_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right),  \tag{5.3}\\
& q\left(v^{(1)}, \cdots, v^{(n)}\right) \prod_{j_{1}<j_{2}} q\left(v^{\left(j_{1}\right)},-v^{\left(j_{2}\right)}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2} g n(n-1)} \epsilon_{n} \Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We regard both sides of (5.1) as functions on $\mathrm{S}^{m}(X) \times \mathrm{S}^{n}(X)$. By (5.2) and (5.3), the left hand side of (5.1) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{g n} \frac{q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(m)}, v^{(1)}, \cdots, v^{(n)}\right) q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(m)},-v^{(1)}, \cdots,-v^{(n)}\right)}{q\left(u^{(1)}, \cdots, u^{(m)}\right)^{2} q\left(v^{(1)}, \cdots, v^{(n)}\right)^{2}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.2) and (5.3), we see that (5.4) is equal to

$$
(-1)^{g n} \frac{\prod_{ \pm} \Delta_{m+n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \pm y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, \pm y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right)^{2} \Delta_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}} \times Q
$$

where

$$
Q=\frac{\prod_{i<j}^{m} q\left(u^{(i)},-u^{(j)}\right)^{2} \prod_{i<j}^{n} q\left(v^{(i)},-v^{(j)}\right)^{2}}{\prod_{ \pm}\left[\prod_{i<j}^{m} q\left(u^{(i)},-u^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i<j}^{n} q\left( \pm v^{(i)}, \mp v^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} q\left(u^{(i)}, \mp v^{(j)}\right)\right]} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(-u, v)=\frac{\sigma_{b}(-u+v)}{\sigma_{\sharp}(-u) \sigma_{\sharp}(v)}=\frac{-\sigma_{b}(u-v)}{\sigma_{\sharp}(u) \sigma_{\sharp}(-v)}=-q(u,-v) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v$ in $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \frac{1}{\prod_{ \pm}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} q\left(u^{(i)}, \mp v^{(j)}\right)\right]} \\
& =(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} q\left(u^{(i)}, v^{(j)}\right) q\left(u^{(i)},-v^{(j)}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.4) and (4.1) again, we see

$$
\begin{align*}
q(u, v) q(u,-v) & =\frac{\sigma_{b}(u+v) \sigma_{b}(u-v)}{\sigma_{\sharp}(u)^{2} \sigma_{\sharp}(v) \sigma_{\sharp}(-v)} \\
& =(-1)^{g} \frac{\sigma_{b}(u+v) \sigma_{b}(u-v)}{\sigma_{\sharp}(u)^{2} \sigma_{\sharp}(v)^{2}}  \tag{5.6}\\
& =-\Delta_{2}\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $u, v$ in $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$ and $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ in $X$ such that $\kappa(u)=\phi_{1}((x, y))$ and $\kappa(v)=$ $\phi_{1}\left(\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence we obtain

$$
Q=(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2}(-1)^{m n} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Delta_{2}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right),\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)}
$$

and have proved the assertion.
Note that Theorem 1.1 follows as a special case, since $\Delta_{2}\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=-\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)$.
Remark 5.4. We note that definition 5.2 for the $q$ 's is behind the Frobenius-Stickelberger relations. This is a relation that governs the automorphy factor of the $\sigma$ function. In turn, such automorphy factor defines the line bundle corresponding to the point of the Jacobian, cf. [F], (5). The Jacobian appears in the long exact sequence of cohomology for

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{*} \rightarrow 1
$$

so the behavior of the automorphy factor derives from the exact sequence,

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow 1
$$

Indeed, if $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a group homomorphism,

$$
\frac{f\left(u^{(1)}+u^{(2)}+\cdots+u^{(n)}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(u^{(i)}\right)}=1
$$

## Appendix : The case of purely trigonal genus 3 curves

Our technique can be generalized for the recent result on the Frobenius-Stickelberger relation for the curve whose affine part is given by [O2],

$$
y^{3}=x^{4}+\lambda_{3} x^{3}+\lambda_{2} x^{2}+\lambda_{1} x+\lambda_{0}
$$

where $\lambda_{j} \mathrm{~s}$ are complex numbers. We denote the curve defined by this equation with a unique point $\infty$ at infinity as $X$. In this appendix, we will give an analog for $X$ of Theorem 5.1.

Geometrical setting. We take a basis of the holomorphic one-forms:

$$
d u_{1}=\frac{d x}{3 y^{2}}, \quad d u_{2}=\frac{x d x}{3 y^{2}}, \quad d u_{3}=\frac{d x}{3 y} .
$$

We use the same symbol as in the case of hyperelliptic curves, hoping that this causes no confusion. Then, the rest of the notation for the period matrices, the coordinate space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ associated to the forms above, the lattice $\Lambda$ in the coordinate space, the Jacobian variety $\mathcal{J}_{3}=\mathbb{C}^{3} / \Lambda$, the Abel maps $\phi_{k}$ and the varieties $W_{k}$, is the same as in section 2 above. The relevant modulo- $\Lambda$ map is $\kappa: \mathbb{C}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{3}$. The Abel-Jacobi theorem ensures that $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{k}\right)$ fills $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ for $k \geq 3$ and $\phi_{3}$ is a birational map from $S^{3}(X)$ to $\mathcal{J}_{3}$. We have $W_{1} \subset W_{2} \subset$ $W_{3}=W_{4}=\cdots=\mathcal{J}_{3}$. We should be careful to note that $W_{k}$ for $k=1$ and 2 is not stable under the operation of taking the additive inverse, $[-1]:\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(-u_{1},-u_{2},-u_{3}\right)$ in $\mathcal{J}_{3}$.

Let $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$ be the sequence of $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$-valued functions over $X$ defined by

$$
\varphi_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x & \text { for } i=3  \tag{A.1}\\
y & \text { for } i=4 \\
x^{(i-3) / 3+2} & \text { for } i>3, i \equiv 0 \text { modulo 3, } \\
x^{(i-3) / 3+1} y & \text { for } i>3, i \equiv 1 \text { modulo 3, } \\
x^{(i-3) / 3} y^{2} & \text { for } i>3, i \equiv 2 \text { modulo 3. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We need again a sigma function $\sigma(u)=\sigma\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ for $X$; this is a theta function on the space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, only the quadratic form entering its definition is not the same as Riemann's. We omit this definition and the details, referring the reader to [O2]. Following [O2], we define (partial) derivatives over the multi-index $\vdash^{n}$ :

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}^{n}}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \sigma(u) & \text { for } n=1, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3}} \sigma(u) & \text { for } n=2, \\
\sigma(u) & \text { for } n>2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\zeta$ be a primitive cube root of 1 . The automorphism of the curve, $(x, y) \mapsto(x, \zeta y)$, induces an action $[\zeta]$ on $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{n}\right)$, namely, for

$$
u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=\left(\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)}+\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)}+\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
d u_{1} \\
d u_{2} \\
d u_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we let

$$
[\zeta] u=\left(\zeta u_{1}, \zeta u_{2}, \zeta^{2} u_{3}\right)=\left(\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{1}, \zeta y_{1}\right)}+\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{2}, \zeta y_{2}\right)}+\int_{\infty}^{\left(x_{3}, \zeta y_{3}\right)}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
d u_{1} \\
d u_{2} \\
d u_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $[\zeta]^{2}$ is induced by multiplication of the coordinates by $\zeta^{2}$ and $[\zeta]^{3}$ is the identity. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma([\zeta] u)=\zeta \sigma(u), \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{2}}([\zeta] u)=\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{2}}(u), \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{t}^{1}}([\zeta] u)=\zeta^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{1}}(u) . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalized Frobenius-Stickelberger formula. The following generalized FrobeniusStickelberger formula was given by one of the authors([O2], Theorem 4.3).

Definition A.1. For a positive integer $n \geq 1$ and a point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $X$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \\
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) \\
1 & \varphi_{1}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \varphi_{2}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \cdots & \varphi_{n-2}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right| \\
& \cdot\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & x_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & \cdots & x_{1}^{n-2} & x_{1}^{n-1} \\
1 & x_{2} & x_{2}^{2} & \cdots & x_{2}^{n-2} & x_{2}^{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{n-1} & x_{n-1}^{2} & \cdots & x_{n-1}^{n-2} & x_{n-1}^{n-1} \\
1 & x_{n} & x_{n}^{2} & \cdots & x_{n}^{n-2} & x_{n}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition A.2. For a positive integer $n>1$, let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $X$ and $u^{(1)}$, $\cdots, u^{(n)}$ in $\kappa^{-1}\left(W_{1}\right)$ be points such that $\kappa\left(u^{(i)}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)$. Then the following relation holds:

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^{(i)}\right) \prod_{i<j} \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{2}}\left(u^{(i)}+[\zeta] u^{(j)}\right) \sigma_{\mathrm{t}^{2}}\left(u^{(i)}+\left[\zeta^{2}\right] u^{(j)}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{\mathrm{t}^{1}}\left(u^{(i)}\right)^{2 n-1}}=\Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

The generalized addition formulae. For our trigonal curve $X$, the following generalized addition formulae hold over $W_{k}$.

Theorem A.3. Assume that $(m, n)$ is a pair of positive integers $(n, m \geq 1)$. Let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ $(i=1, \cdots, m),\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)(j=1, \cdots, n)$ be in $X$ and $u \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{m}\right), v \in \kappa^{-1}\left(W_{n}\right)$ be points such that $\kappa(u)=\phi_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right), \kappa(v)=\phi_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, Then the
following relation holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}(u+v) \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}(u+[\zeta] v) \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m+n}}\left(u+\left[\zeta^{2}\right] v\right)}{\sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{m}}(u)^{3} \sigma_{\mathrm{h}^{n}}(v)^{3}} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{2} \Delta_{m+n}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \zeta^{i} y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, \zeta^{i} y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left(\Delta_{m}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right) \Delta_{n}\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdots,\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{3}}  \tag{A.3}\\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\Delta_{2}\left(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right),\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This is proved by the same way as Theorem 5.1.
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